(3)

CHHOTA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR:CHHATTISGARH.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3360 /2003.

PETITIONER : Jogi Ram Rathia,
S/o Shri N.R. Rathia,
Aged about 42 years,
Forest Range Officer,
Presently Posted at Tapkara Range
Jashpur Forest Division,
Distt. Jashpur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3341/03
Presented by Shri Sameer Behar
dated 15.10.03.

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS : 1). State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: The Secretary,
Department of Forest, Mantralaya,
D.K.S.Building, Raipur (C.G.).

2). Divisional Forest Officer,
Jashpur Forest Division,
Distt. Jashpur (C.G.).

3). Election Commission of India,
Nirvachan Bhawan, New Delhi.

RECEIVED-COPY
15/10/03
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1). Particulars of the Petitioner :-

As per Cause-title.

2). Particulars of the Respondents :-

As per Cause title.

(S1)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3360/ सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	02.01.2004 Shri B.D. Guru, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agarwal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents 1 & 2. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, challenging the legality, validity and propriety of the transfer order dated 06.10.2003 (Annexure P-1), whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Tapkara Range, Jashpur Forest Division to Working Plan Forest Division, Raipur. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner joined at Tapkara Forest Range on 29.07.2002 and obtained the charge of Forest Range Officer at Tapkara Range on 13.08.2002. The petitioner has been transferred without the approval of the co-ordination committee and the petitioner has been transferred in an arbitrary manner. Petitioner's two children are studying in Class-9 and Class-7 at Tapkara. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation against the impugned order to which, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State/respondents 1 & 2 has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same in an	

(52)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3360/ सन् 2003

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
Soma	objective manner with a reasoned order considering all the grievances of the petitioner. With the above observations and directions the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.3075/2003 and I.A.No.9828/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	